



# On ordinary generalized geometric–arithmetic index

Mehdi Eliasi, Ali Iranmanesh\*

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Science, Tarbiat Modares University, P.O. Box: 14115-137, Tehran, Iran

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 2 July 2010

Received in revised form 5 November 2010

Accepted 19 November 2010

### Keywords:

Geometric–arithmetic index

Randić connectivity index

Sum-connectivity index

Lower and upper bounds

Connected graph

## ABSTRACT

The ordinary generalized geometric–arithmetic index of graphs is introduced and some properties especially lower and upper bounds in terms of other graph invariants and topological indices are obtained.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Topological indices are numerical parameters of a graph which are invariant under graph isomorphisms. They play a significant role in chemistry, pharmacology, physics, etc. [1]. For a graph  $G$ , with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ , motivated by the definition of Randić connectivity index [2,3], Vukičević and Furtula in [4] proposed the geometric–arithmetic index (GA for short) of  $G$  as:

$$GA(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2\sqrt{d_u d_v}}{(d_u + d_v)},$$

where  $d_u$  denotes the degree of vertex  $u \in V(G)$ . Also the Randić connectivity index ( $R(G)$ ) and sum-connectivity index ( $\chi(G)$ ) of  $G$  are defined as:

$$R(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u d_v)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\chi(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In 1998, Bollobás and Erdős in [5] generalized the Randić index by replacing  $-\frac{1}{2}$  with any real number  $k$ , which is called the general Randić index:

$$R_k(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u d_v)^k.$$

Similarly, Zhou and Trinajstić, in [6], introduced the ordinary sum connectivity

$$\chi_k(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v)^k.$$

They obtained some properties, especially lower and upper bounds in terms of the other graph invariants, of this index.

\* Corresponding author. Fax: +98 21 88006544.

E-mail addresses: [m.eliasi@modares.ac.ir](mailto:m.eliasi@modares.ac.ir) (M. Eliasi), [iranmanesh@modares.ac.ir](mailto:iranmanesh@modares.ac.ir) (A. Iranmanesh).

A class of geometric–arithmetic topological indices was defined in [7] as follows:

$$GA_{\text{general}}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\sqrt{Q_u Q_v}}{\frac{1}{2}(Q_u + Q_v)},$$

where  $Q_u$  is some quantity that in a unique manner can be associated with the vertex  $u$  of the graph  $G$ . Some classes of GA indices have been studied in [7–10]. It is natural that we consider the ordinary geometric–arithmetic index of  $G$ . It is defined for each positive real number  $k$  as:

$$OGA_k(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{4d_u d_v}}{d_u + d_v} \right]^k = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{(4d_u d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(d_u + d_v)^k}.$$

In this paper, we focus our attention to this index and its main properties including lower and upper bounds.

## 2. Bounds for the ordinary geometric–arithmetic index

We recall that if  $f''(x) > 0$ , for the real value function  $f(x)$  defined on an interval, then  $f(x)$  is a strictly convex function. Jensen's inequality shows that if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  are arbitrary real numbers, then  $f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i}{k}\right) \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i)$ .

If  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$  are  $n$ -tuples of real numbers satisfying

$$0 \leq m_1 \leq a_i \leq M_1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq m_2 \leq b_i \leq M_2,$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then by Ozeki's inequality [11]:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^2 - \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i \right]^2 \leq \frac{m^2}{4} (M_1 M_2 - m_1 m_2)^2.$$

Consider two  $n$ -tuples  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$  of real numbers, let  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of positive real numbers. Then the following inequality (Hölder's inequality with weight) holds:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n w_k |a_k b_k| \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^n w_k |a_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n w_k |b_k|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

for each pair  $p, q$  of positive integers such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

In the following theorem, we obtain a relation between  $OGA_k(G)$  and  $OGA_{2k}(G)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G$  be a simple graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Then

$$mOGA_{2k}(G) - \frac{m^2}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{2^k(n-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{n^k} \right)^2 \leq [OGA_k(G)]^2 \leq mOGA_{2k}(G).$$

**Proof.** Let  $w_{uv} = (2d_u d_v)^k$ ,  $a_{uv} = \frac{1}{(d_u + d_v)^k}$  and  $b_{uv} = \frac{1}{(d_u d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}}$ . By Hölder's inequality,  $p = q = 2$ ,

$$\left[ \sum_{uv \in E(G)} w_{uv} a_{uv} b_{uv} \right]^2 \leq \left[ \sum_{uv \in E(G)} w_{uv} a_{uv}^2 \right] \left[ \sum_{uv \in E(G)} w_{uv} b_{uv}^2 \right].$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} w_{uv} a_{uv} b_{uv} &= (2d_u d_v)^k \frac{1}{(d_u + d_v)^k} \frac{1}{(d_u d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}} = \frac{(4d_u d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(d_u + d_v)^k}, \\ w_{uv} a_{uv}^2 &= (2d_u d_v)^k \frac{1}{(d_u + d_v)^{2k}}, \\ w_{uv} b_{uv}^2 &= (2d_u d_v)^k \frac{1}{(d_u d_v)^k} = 2^k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$[OGA_k(G)]^2 \leq \left[ \frac{OGA_{2k}(G)}{2^k} \right] [m2^k] = mOGA_{2k}(G).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $d_u \leq d_v$ . Denote  $x = \frac{d_u}{d_v}$  and note that  $\frac{1}{n-1} \leq x \leq 1$ . Now we consider  $f(x) = \frac{x^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(1+x)^k}$ . Simple differential calculation shows that  $f'(x) = \frac{k}{2} \frac{x^{\frac{k}{2}-1}(1-x)}{(1+x)^{k+1}}$ . Therefore  $f$  is ascending on the interval  $(\frac{1}{n-1}, 1]$ , hence it achieves minimum at  $x = \frac{1}{n-1}$  and maximum at  $x = 1$ . Let  $a_{uv} = \frac{(d_u d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(d_u + d_v)^k}$  and  $b_{uv} = 2^k$ . Then  $m_1 := f(\frac{1}{n-1}) \leq a_{uv} \leq \frac{1}{2^k} := M_1$  and  $m_2 := 2^k \leq b_{uv} \leq 2^k := M_2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{uv \in E(G)} a_{uv}^2 &= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{(d_u d_v)^k}{(d_u + d_v)^{2k}} = \frac{1}{4^k} \text{OGA}_{2k}(G), \\ \sum_{uv \in E(G)} b_{uv}^2 &= m 4^k, \\ \left[ \sum_{uv \in E(G)} a_{uv} b_{uv} \right]^2 &= [\text{OGA}_k(G)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Ozeki's inequality

$$m \text{OGA}_{2k}(G) - \frac{m^2}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{2^k(n-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{n^k} \right)^2 \leq [\text{OGA}_k(G)]^2. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Then

$$\frac{m 2^k (n-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{n^k} \leq \text{OGA}_k(G) \leq m.$$

The lower bound is achieved if and only if  $G$  is a star and the upper bound is achieved if and only if  $G$  is a regular graph.

**Proof.**

$$\text{OGA}_k(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{(4d_u d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(d_u + d_v)^k} = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{d_u d_v}}{\frac{d_u + d_v}{2}} \right]^k \leq \sum_{uv \in E(G)} 1^k = m.$$

The upper bound is achieved if and only if for each edge  $uv$  of  $G$ ,  $d_u = d_v$  and therefore  $G$  must be a regular graph. Also in the proof of [Theorem 1](#), we proved that if  $f(x) = \frac{x^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(1+x)^k}$ , then  $f(\frac{1}{n-1}) \leq \frac{(d_u d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(d_u + d_v)^k}$ . Therefore  $\text{OGA}_k(G) \geq m 2^k f(\frac{1}{n-1}) = \frac{m 2^k (n-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{n^k}$ . Moreover, the equality is obtained if and only if the graph has  $n-1$  edges each connecting vertices of degrees 1 and  $n$ . The only such graph is a star.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $G$  be a simple graph with  $m$  vertices, maximum degree  $\Delta$  and minimum degree  $\delta$ . Then

$$m \left( \frac{2}{\delta + \Delta} \right)^k (\delta \Delta)^{\frac{k}{2}} \leq \text{OGA}_k(G)$$

and the equality holds if and only if for each edge of  $G$ , its end vertices have degrees  $\delta$  and  $\Delta$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f(x) = \frac{x^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(1+x)^k}$ . In the proof of [Theorem 1](#), we proved that  $f(x) = \frac{x^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(1+x)^k}$  is an increasing function on  $(\frac{1}{n-1}, 1]$ . Assume that  $uv \in E(G)$  and  $d_v \leq d_u$ . Then  $\text{OGA}_k(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} 2^k f\left(\frac{d_v}{d_u}\right)$ . Note that

$$f\left(\frac{d_v}{d_u}\right) \geq f\left(\frac{\delta}{\Delta}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta + \Delta}\right)^k (\delta \Delta)^{\frac{k}{2}},$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $d_v = \delta$  and  $d_u = \Delta$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $T$  be a tree with  $n \geq 3$  vertices, then

$$\text{OGA}_k(T) \leq 2^{\frac{3k}{2}} + (n-3).$$

The upper bound is achieved if and only if  $T$  is a path.

**Proof.** Let  $u$  be a pendant vertex in  $T$  and  $v$  be its only neighbor. Then

$$\frac{(d_u d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(d_u + d_v)^k} = \frac{(d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(1 + d_v)^k}.$$

Consider the function  $\frac{x^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(1+x)^k}$ . We have  $f'(x) = \frac{k}{2} \frac{x^{\frac{k}{2}-1}(1-x)}{(1+x)^{k+1}}$ . Therefore  $f$  is descending on the segment  $[2, n-1]$ . Hence

$$\frac{(d_u d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(d_u + d_v)^k} = \frac{(d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(1 + d_v)^k} \leq \frac{2^{\frac{k}{2}}}{3^k}.$$

Let  $l$  denote the number of leaves (vertices of degree 1) in  $T$ . Since, every tree has at least two leaves and  $\left(\frac{2^{\frac{3k}{2}}}{3^k} - 1\right) \leq 0$ , it holds:

$$\text{OGA}_k(T) \leq \frac{2^{\frac{3k}{2}}}{3^k} \cdot l + (n-1-l) \cdot 1 \leq 2 \frac{2^{\frac{3k}{2}}}{3^k} + (n-3).$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if the tree has exactly two edges connecting vertices of degrees 1 and 2, and all other edges connect vertices of the same degree. The only such graph is a path.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** Let  $G$  be a simple graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Then

$$m \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^k (n-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} \leq \text{OGA}_k(G),$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $G$  is a star.

**Proof.** Consider the proof of Theorem 3. Then for each  $uv \in E(G)$ ,  $\frac{1}{n-1} \leq \frac{d_v}{d_u}$ . Therefore the equality holds if and only if for each  $uv \in E(G)$  we have  $\frac{1}{n-1} = \frac{d_v}{d_u}$ . Since the degree of each vertex of  $G$  is at most  $n-1$ ,  $G$  must be a star.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** Let  $G$  be a graph with  $m \geq 1$  edges. Then if  $k > 1$  then

$$\text{OGA}_k(G) \geq \frac{\text{OGA}_1(G)^k}{m^{k-1}}.$$

If  $0 < k < 1$ , then the inequality is reversed.

**Proof.** If  $k > 1$  then  $x^k$  is a strictly convex function and thus (by Jensen's inequality):

$$\left[\frac{1}{m} \text{OGA}_1(G)\right]^k = \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2\sqrt{d_u d_v}}{d_u + d_v}\right]^k \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left[\frac{2\sqrt{d_u d_v}}{d_u + d_v}\right]^k = \frac{1}{m} \text{OGA}_k(G).$$

Hence  $\text{OGA}_k(G) \geq [\text{OGA}_1(G)]^k m^{1-k}$ . For  $k < 1$ , using the concavity of  $x^k$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** Let  $G$  be a simple connected graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Then if  $k > 1$ , then

$$\text{OGA}_k(G) \geq \frac{1}{m^{k-1}} \left[ \frac{2(n-1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{n} \right]^k.$$

If  $0 < k < 1$ , then the inequality is reversed. The equality holds if and only if  $G$  is a star.

**Proof.** By [4],  $\frac{2(n-1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{n} \leq \text{OGA}_1(G)$  and the equality holds if and only if  $G$  is a star.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.** Let  $G$  be a triangle-free graph with a simple connected graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m \geq 1$  edges. Then

$$\text{OGA}_k(G) \geq \frac{1}{m^{k-1}} \left[ \frac{4m^2}{n^2} \right]^k,$$

with the equality holds if and only if  $G$  is a regular complete bipartite graph.

**Proof.** By Proposition 8 of [8],  $\frac{4m^2}{n^2} \leq \text{OGA}_1(G)$  and the equality holds if and only if  $G$  is a regular complete graph.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** Let  $G$  be a molecular graph. Then

$$\text{OGA}_k(G) \leq 2^k R_{-\frac{k}{4}}(G).$$

**Proof.** Let  $g(x, y) = (x + y)^4 - (xy)^3$ . Then for each  $x, y \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  we have  $g(x, y) \geq 0$ . Therefore for each  $k$ ,  $\frac{(xy)^k}{(x+y)^{2k}} \leq \frac{1}{(xy)^{\frac{k}{2}}}$ . Since  $G$  is a molecular graph, for each  $u \in V(G)$  we have  $d_u \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Hence

$$\text{OGA}_{2k}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{4^k (d_u d_v)^k}{(d_u + d_v)^{2k}} \leq \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{4^k}{(d_u d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}} = 4^k R_{-\frac{k}{2}}(G). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 10.** Let  $G$  be a graph with  $m$  edges and minimum degree  $\delta$ . Then

$$\text{OGA}_1(G) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \sqrt{m R_1(G)}.$$

The equality holds if and only if  $G$  is a regular graph.

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{OGA}_1(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2\sqrt{d_u d_v}}{(d_u + d_v)} \leq \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\sqrt{d_u d_v}}{\delta} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^2 \sum_{uv \in E(G)} d_u d_v} \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \sqrt{m R_1(G)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 11.** Let  $G$  be a graph with  $m$  edges and  $n$  vertices and maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Then

$$\text{OGA}_1(G) \geq \sqrt{\frac{R_1(G)}{\Delta^2} + \frac{4\Delta}{(1+\Delta)^2} m(m-1)}.$$

The equality holds if and only if  $G$  is a regular graph.

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{OGA}_1(G)]^2 &= 4 \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u d_v}{(d_u + d_v)^2} + 2 \sum_{uv \neq \bar{u}\bar{v} \in E(G)} \frac{2\sqrt{d_u d_v}}{d_u + d_v} \frac{2\sqrt{d_{\bar{u}} d_{\bar{v}}}}{d_{\bar{u}} + d_{\bar{v}}} \\ &\geq 4 \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u d_v}{4\Delta^2} + 2 \sum_{uv \neq \bar{u}\bar{v} \in E(G)} \frac{2\sqrt{\Delta}}{(1+\Delta)} \frac{2\sqrt{\Delta}}{(1+\Delta)} \\ &= \frac{R_1}{\Delta^2} + \frac{4\Delta}{(1+\Delta)^2} m(m-1), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 12.** Let  $G$  be a triangle-free graph with  $n$  vertices. Then

$$\text{OGA}_k(G) \geq \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^k R_{\frac{k}{2}}.$$

**Proof.** Since  $G$  is a triangle-free graph, for each  $uv \in E(G)$ ,  $d_u + d_v \leq n$  and thus we have

$$\text{OGA}_k(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{(4d_u d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(d_u + d_v)^k} \geq \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{(4d_u d_v)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{n^k} = \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^k R_{\frac{k}{2}}(G).$$

If the equality holds in the above inequality, then  $d_u + d_v = n$  for any  $uv \in E(G)$ ,  $G$  has no isolated vertices and every component of  $G$  is regular, and thus  $d_u = \frac{n}{2}$  for any  $u \in V(G)$ , implying that  $G$  is the regular complete bipartite graph since  $G$  is triangle free. Conversely, it is easily seen that the lower bound for  $\text{OGA}_k(G)$  is attained for the regular complete bipartite graph.  $\square$

Nordhaus and Gaddum [12] gave bounds for the sum of the chromatic numbers of a graph and its complement. Nordhaus–Gaddum-type results for many graph invariants are known. Here we give a Nordhaus–Gaddum-type result for the OGA index.

**Theorem 13.** Let  $G$  be a graph with  $m$  edges and  $n$  vertices. Then

$$\frac{2^k (n-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} n(n-1)}{n^k} \leq \text{OGA}_k(G) + \text{OGA}_k(\bar{G}) \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.$$

The lower bound is achieved if and only if  $G$  is a star and the upper bound is achieved if and only if  $G$  is a regular graph.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2

$$\frac{m2^k(n-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{n^k} \leq \text{OGA}_k(G) \leq m.$$

Since  $\bar{G}$  has  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m$  edges and  $n$  vertices we can obtain the desired result.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referees for valuable comments.

## References

- [1] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, Handbook of Molecular Descriptors, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000.
- [2] X. Li, I. Gutman, Mathematical Aspects of Randić-Type Molecular Structure Descriptors, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2006.
- [3] I. Gutman, B. Furtula (Eds.), Recent Results in the Theory of Randić Index, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2008.
- [4] D. Vukičević, B. Furtula, Topological index based on the ratios of geometrical and arithmetical means of end-vertex degrees of edges, J. Math. Chem. 46 (2010) 1369–1376.
- [5] B. Bollobás, P. Erdős, Graphs with extremal weights, Ars Combin. 50 (1998) 225–233.
- [6] B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, On general sum-connectivity index, J. Math. Chem. 47 (2010) 210–218.
- [7] Gh. Fath-Tabar, B. Furtula, I. Gutman, A new geometric–arithmetic index, J. Math. Chem. 47 (2010) 477–486.
- [8] Y. Yuan, B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, On geometric–arithmetic index, J. Math. Chem. 47 (2010) 833–841.
- [9] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, Geometricarithmetic indices, in: I. Gutman, B. Furtula (Eds.), Novel Molecular Structure Descriptors, Theory and Applications, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2010, pp. 137–172.
- [10] B. Zhou, I. Gutman, B. Furtula, Z. Dua, On two types of geometric–arithmetic index, Chem. Phys. Lett. 482 (2009) 153–155.
- [11] D. Mitrinović, J.E. Pečarić, A.M. Fink, Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1993.
- [12] E.A. Nordhaus, J.W. Gaddum, On complementary graphs, Amer. Math. Monthly 63 (1956) 175–177.